

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

I was in the middle of writing an article on this subject, with reference to the Bull Case (Christian guest-house owners who were taken to court and sentenced, for refusing to give a double room to an homosexual couple), when I received an objection from a Christian friend. It is how we move forward in expressing views.

However, to be honest, dealing with anything to do with homosexuality often leaves me physically repelled, because the subject is so awful. My belief is that we should not even speak of this evil cancer in our midst (except as scripture portrays it), because God's commands are so plain. However, I have been asked to deal with it. Here is a summary of salient points before I begin:

1.

Homosexuals have no right whatever to make their lifestyle public, because what they do is pornographic, lewd, unnatural, unhealthy, dangerous to young people and children, financially/socially crippling to a nation, and ungodly. It is significant that the current Prime Minister sees homosexuality as better than Christianity.

2.

In God's economy they have no claim whatever to any demands in law for 'rights' – all their reasons are false, and no man may make sin into a law.

3.

What they do with each other is foul and sinful. It makes no difference if they are together for life or (more usually) for a short while... what they do is wicked and should not be made known publicly, or 'protected'.

4.

As a nation we suffer because of homosexuality, so should get rid of it. By that I mean that homosexuals must go behind closed doors again and not 'come out'. Public events by homosexuals must be banned, because they are based on perversity and immorality that harms the rest of society.

5.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

There is nothing of worth in homosexuality, only evil. It must be stigmatised.

6.

Homosexuals are the enemies of Christians, God, scripture and society.

7.

As such they **MUST** be rebuked, and yet loved as enemies. Loving the enemy never absolves us of rebuking them. But, 'loving' them has a very specific meaning... and it does not include any kind of emotional attachment or fondness, only a recognition that they are God's creatures, doing evil.

8.

Christians **MUST** speak out and **MUST** refuse to offer business services that enhance, promote or otherwise condone homosexuality, but may offer 'neutral' services – sell food, etc. – with good conscience. Recent cases show that individual Christians acted according to their conscience under God, but some critics say they should have obeyed the law. It is arrogant to suggest that those who chose not to help homosexuals were 'wrong', when their godly consciences said otherwise.

9.

Human laws that actively oppose God's laws must be automatically rejected by Christians. We must also protect our children against 'gay' propaganda, so must remove them from classes that allow teaching of that kind, and must teach them that homosexuality is wrong.

10.

We may not be complicit in homosexual sin by providing services that join us to homosexuals by way of silence.

11.

Homosexuals as a community **do not exist** – they are ordinary people who have chosen a foul, lethal sexual activity. It is true to say that all homosexuals are merely heterosexuals with a wicked habit. By 'heterosexual' I mean 'normal'; homosexuality is abnormal.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

12.

However, homosexuality is not one single sin, but a multitude of sins all linked, as Romans 1 shows, and all condemned by God. He says that homosexuals are reprobate, which means He has cast them aside, ready to enter hell. They are, then, at the farthest end of wickedness and the very word 'reprobate' means they (as a group) will not experience salvation, though individuals may do so, **if** elected and **when** they repent.

13.

It is not 'lack of love' that causes Christians to shun homosexuals, but scriptural (God's) truth, which always comes first.

14.

For myself, after having contact with homosexuals for four decades... I want nothing to do with them AS HOMOSEXUALS; I do not wish to hear about them, or to share information about anything they do as homosexuals. This is because I see what they say, think, and do, sexually, as repugnant. This is my prerogative and I will not shift from such beliefs, because they are based on God's word, as well as on bitter experience of homosexual hatred, and actual facts. Though I want to know nothing about people AS HOMOSEXUALS, I will listen to them if they speak and act normally, or want to repent, and I will help.

15.

'Heterosexuality' is normal, and all people were created heterosexual. Homosexuality is an abnormality, physically and mentally, and is imposed by unbalanced minds on all of society.

In the article I only give one or two 'scenarios'. I refuse to give long lists of do's and don'ts, because it is up to every Christian's conscience to respond as they must. However, I recognise that many Christians are being pressured to accept homosexuality in society. They are intimidated by law cases and by constant claimed public acceptance of homosexuality (engineered by the media and homosexuals – most people still regard homosexuality as gross sin).

The answer is clear: homosexuals can act as they will (so long as they keep it to themselves); but do not come near me! It is *my* right as a Christian to despise homosexuality, to see it as

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

repugnant, wicked and disgusting, and to say so. No matter what the law says, I will always think and say it, and I have already been penalised for it, time and again. Unless there is repentance, we have no reason whatever to come into contact willingly with homosexuals. I know that some do not appear to be homosexual; if they act and speak normally I have no problem with dealing with them. And if I do not know someone is homosexual? Well, that is not an issue for me... their deception is their sin and it does not make me 'stupid'.

I received a scenario from a good Christian friend, which will be included in this article because it asks important questions about how we should respond to homosexuality. But, it will be disputed.

My own answer is that homosexuality deserves no consideration whatever, but the sender of the scenario believes that if we offer a service (business) then we must not discriminate* against homosexuals for any reason, as if to the Lord. (* Use of the word 'discriminate' is, as we shall see, another piece of propaganda, and a misuse of the word).

This idea (not making a distinction) does not appear to square with God's complete hating of this sin, or with His ordained end of homosexuals - hell - as well as His earthly punishment, AIDS and similar diseases. The purpose of this article is to see what scripture says and to come to biblical conclusions. You must then come to your *own* conclusions because, as in all things, the individual is responsible for his own beliefs and errors.

The brother believes Christians show a distinct lack of love for homosexuals, but this depends on what he means by 'love'. My reply is that we may not love what God hates. We must, though, love our enemies... it is the *interpretation* of this that must be clearly defined, bearing in mind that when God says He hates homosexuality, He also means He hates those who practice it.

How we can show 'love' to those God hates is not as straightforward as some Christians suppose. Indeed, ask yourself this... if you say we must 'love' homosexuals – ***what exactly do you mean?***

There are about two dozen different kinds of love in scripture; which one are you referring to? If you do not know, please do not force it on other Christians. (Also note that when you read of 'love' in the English 1611 AV, it is a transliteration and need not necessarily read as 'love' in the original. For example, in some cases, *agapa*

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

o
is better worded as 'care').

Before we study what God says in His word, we should acknowledge that homosexuality IS hated by God, that He holds homosexuality (and all sexual promiscuities and perversions, including heterosexual) in total abhorrence, that He speaks in derogatory terms of Sodom and compares the worst sins to it. So, 'loving your enemies' must be properly understood, as we are commanded not to have anything to do with works of darkness! Note that while God refers to all sexual sinners as bad, He only calls homosexuals 'reprobate'.

Homosexuality today is very different from previous centuries, when it was rightly hidden and a source of shame... now, it is strident, arrogant, filled with pride, and vicious in the extreme; it hates Christ, Christianity, Bible and God; it does everything in its power to destroy them; it tries to convert all people to its foul 'lifestyle'; it grooms children to do the same; it controls all of government and governmental policies and laws, through the foul organisation, Stonewall; it is totally responsible for foul diseases such as AIDS, and its initial spread. None of this will be included in the article, because it has been covered in other articles.

I will now bring together a variety of texts I believe to be pertinent to the argument. It is how we, as a ministry, respond to homosexuality. You may agree or not.

Living At Peace

Many Christians have a misperception that we must ALWAYS live at peace with all men. This is not quite true.

A dream of Joseph drew intense hatred from his brothers. So much so, they sold him as a slave. The whole episode is worth reading because it is symbolic of the hatred shown towards us by homosexuals (Genesis 37). Homosexuals absolutely loathe Christians because Christians are chosen by God and they are not. They hate Christians because Christians are right, and they are wrong, and because God condemns them for what they do. Joseph's brothers' hatred meant they could not speak peaceably to him. Indeed, they went much farther, by selling him.

It is a truism that the more homosexuals know they are wrong, the louder they shout! They are, in essence, trying to bluff their way to respectability. They will do anything to get rid of critics,

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

because the critics are right – and homosexuals know it. That is why they continually lie and deceive, and refuse to allow the media to openly debate the subject, calling any criticism ‘hate speech!’ (Which, of course, is ridiculous).

Look at 1 Chronicles 12:17. David said to people hostile towards him: *“If ye come peaceably unto me to help me, mine heart shall be knit unto you: but if ye come to betray me to mine enemies, seeing there is none wrong in mine hands, the God of our fathers look thereon and rebuke it.”* David, then, could not live at peace with those who would be his enemies, or who led him to be overtaken by them.

We can apply the same principles to the way homosexuals attack us. Because they fear homosexual reprisals, and because they do not have a clue about what these people can do, some Christians think we should have dialogue with homosexuals and should show them compassion. This is ungodly. Homosexuals are called reprobate by God for a good reason. They may not be shown compassion for their sins. Rather, they must be rebuked strongly. Compassion is reserved only for those who repent and want to change. (Bear in mind that ALL responses are individual and must be prompted by God and conscience). And let it be said – the MAJORITY of homosexuals return to normality and wish to change.

In Jeremiah 9:8 we see the deceit and hatefulness of our enemies: *“Their tongue is as an arrow shot out; it speaketh deceit: one speaketh peaceably to his neighbour with his mouth, but in heart he layeth his wait.”*

This describes homosexuals, who have gone far beyond this position, to stand as an army against the Lord’s anointed. And it is an error to think that speaking softly to such an enemy will turn them to our side... it infuriates them! Only God can change enemies...or destroy them. In the Old Testament we see no ‘dialogue’ with homosexuals (rightly referred to as sodomites) – rather, kings threw them out of Israel, or put them to death, as God commanded.

In several places in scripture the question is asked *“Comest thou peaceably?”* If the question had to be asked, it implies that if someone does

not

come peaceably; then there would be a repercussion, as we find in David’s response to those who became his enemies. This is especially the case when we have done nothing wrong.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

We see the principles of the way homosexuals have deceived government and leaders, in Daniel 11:21-24: *“And in his estate shall stand up a vile person, to whom they shall not give the honour of the kingdom: but he shall come in peaceably, and obtain the kingdom by flatteries.” That person was to be destroyed, after they enjoy their allies for a brief season... and “shall become strong with a small people”. “he shall forecast his devices against the strong holds, even for a time.”* The end of that enemy is death.

Nobodies Rule Us!

Should we not look upon homosexuality in this same way? They have persuaded a *“small people”* — nobodies: MPs and leaders, to join them, and they now rule the country. (The MPs and PM are ‘nobodies’ because they oppose God). But, God will prevail and destroy them. Does any of this suggest we can join hands with the enemy, even if it is required by human law? I do not think so. Am I displaying a lack of love? No I am not, and I do not accept the accusation. I am being scriptural. (See later section on ‘love’). Love is not that emotional sugar so many people like to pour onto everyone who is wicked! Love is tougher than that!

Romans 12:18 puts it succinctly: *“If it be possible, as much as lieth in you, live peaceably with all men.”*

This tells us that it is *not* always possible for Christians to live at peace with all men and that enmities will arise. We may not, however, deal out hatred to those who are our enemies, nor take revenge. If an enemy needs our help we must give it, specifically to *“heap coals of fire on his head”*. “

Thus, the doing-good to our enemies is a symbol of God’s wrath upon them. In no way does this mean we must join the enemy in his wickedness, or remain silent; it just means we must not do him harm *as a reaction*. I am, however, fully behind the country of Uganda, which plans to bring in a law of death for homosexuals who rape and infect children and others innocent of homosexuality. The same *should* occur in the West, but it shamefully protects the sin. Behind this godless protection of homosexuality is socialism, another enemy of God, used to destroy family units and social good, and to promote total sexual freedom with all the harm that it brings.

Our stand for righteousness must be firm, and this cannot, and must not, include joining wicked people in their evils in any way. The better path is to simply avoid them. But, if they seek our help *personally* for matters of life and death, we must offer it... and what we do will highlight

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

their wickedness before God, Who will recall it when He judges them.

Note that this is all one-to-one, not individual-to-group, or group-to-group. One Christian hospital went so far as to **only** nurse dying homosexuals with AIDS and give them double rooms so that their lovers could sleep with them! This was unacceptable, as was giving nursing help to unrepentant homosexuals. A secular hospital yes, but not one funded by Christians. It is reprehensible that Christians give good money to nurse unrepentant homosexuals! Let the dead bury the dead!

We cannot 'live at peace' on a wholesale basis, but only person-to-person. No Christian can live in peace with the homosexual movement. But, it is possible to live at peace with individual homosexuals who do not harm us. I did this for four decades – it was *homosexuals* who decided to make themselves my enemies.

As a very brief example, in my last job I helped an homosexual man to gain entry to nursing school, loaned him books, and even arranged loans. I did so because he was not the 'usual' homosexual, but had 'fallen' into it because his parents discarded him whilst he was still in school, making him fend for himself. He was seduced by older men. At times he mused about returning to normality, but other homosexuals made sure he toed the 'gay line'. He was the **ONLY** homosexual to respond well to me, and was the **ONLY** one, in four decades, not to do me harm. In other words, he was not the usual callous, wicked homosexual, but a very confused, vulnerable young man, with no-one to protect him in any way.

We must, then, respond to these people individually, because individuals within the group known as 'homosexual' vary enormously, from quiet and isolated to outwardly evil and vicious, acting like jackals. (But, every homosexual **KNOWS** he or she is wrong). In the same way we treat every child as different.

It is for this reason that we can witness to individuals who will listen. Only a few individuals will respond because only a *very* few will be elect... the rest, unequivocally, are doomed to hell... which is what scripture says.

Forgiveness

I will not labour this point, but will only mention the outline facts, which are:

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

1.

To be forgiven by God, an unsaved man must repent, change and be saved.

2.

To be forgiven by God, a saved man must repent and change.

3.

Only the one who has been offended may offer human forgiveness.

4.

Thus, no person can forgive on the part of another: a mother cannot, for example, forgive a murderer of her child, or an unrepentant bomber.

5.

The Christian MUST forgive if it is requested, but not otherwise. And we must know the difference between repentance and merely being sorry. Being sorry is not enough to warrant forgiveness.

6.

HOWEVER – we cannot forgive what God declares to be wicked.

7.

AND – the one who seeks forgiveness MUST repent and ask for forgiveness.

8.

It is unbiblical to offer forgiveness to someone in their absence, or if they do not repent and ask for forgiveness.

9.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

God does not make allowance for forgiveness of homosexuals in general, for He calls them reprobate - which means He has cast them aside, ready for hell. He WILL forgive the very few who repent and are saved and who stop their evil lifestyle, but He declares the whole group to be going to hell.

10.

I know of a few homosexuals who have asked for forgiveness, repented, or changed, but this is rare. See relevant articles.

Works of Darkness

We are commanded specifically to rebuke works of darkness and to have nothing at all to do with the wicked: "Be ye therefore followers of God, as dear children... But fornication, and all uncleanness... let it not be once named among you, as becometh saints... Neither filthiness, nor foolish talking... but rather giving of thanks... For this we know, that no whoremonger, nor unclean person... hath any inheritance in the kingdom of Christ and of God... Be not ye therefore partakers with them." (Ephesians 5:1-7). So, 'loving our enemy' MUST consider this stark truth, and MUST include warning them of their lost condition.

If we read other instances of being 'holy partakers', we see that it means we are part of the holy union with God by our holiness, for we have "been delivered from the power of darkness." That is, once we are taken away from darkness we do not deliberately choose to rejoin it, by thought, word, or deed. To 'service' homosexuals when we know that what we do will promote their sin, is not acceptable, but is to be a 'partaker' of their sin, placing us in direct line of fire for God's disfavour, if not wrath.

We must not have "fellowship" with those who are wicked. That is, we may not communicate with them or join in as fellows. In my daily work, I had to work with homosexual colleagues, but apart from greetings and ordinary work situations I never entered into conversation concerning their sexual lives, their 'partners', and never had any social contact outside work. (They knew of my website and views, though I never once spoke about them in work). So long as our contact was professional I had no problem. But, I did rebuke them on at least two occasions when they verbalised homosexual matters in front of patients. I also did the same when heterosexuals spoke in the same way.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

When anyone goes against God's plan for sexuality, whether homosexually or heterosexually, they act sinfully. I would not, say, visit the home of an unmarried couple, nor would I socialise with them. If they were speaking sexually in my presence I would walk away or rebuke them.

Thus, I treat them as I would homosexuals. The only difference is that I find homosexuality sickening. Also, I find homosexuals particularly dangerous to my well-being and freedom of speech; I simply do not trust them, with good reason.

When talking of 'fellowship' we are referring to communion with people, which is part of fellowship. Clearly, we cannot do that with homosexuals, whose hatred for the Lord is pronounced and vitriolic. We should not even talk to them, except by God's prompting for a specific reason.

And there should certainly not be 'dialogue' with them, or debate about homosexuality, because it is a shameful and appalling sin specially hated by God. Though He hates ALL sins, he mentions homosexuality in the most specific, derisory, wrathful of terms, and expresses a special loathing for it.

Also, 'fellowship' is synonymous with 'partnership' and 'a partner'. If someone advocates evil, and tells us his desire is to have us join him in that sin by offering a service that promotes it, then we become partakers of that sin, complicit.

If I am a gunsmith, and someone legitimately buys a gun, that is fine. But, if he tells me he intends shooting people in the High Street, I would be complicit if I made and sold that gun to him. The making and selling is normally acceptable. But, the declared desire, and our complicity, makes it sin.

Homosexuality is only legal in human law, not in God's law. God's law supersedes human law, so we may not partake of human law if it contradicts God's law, because such human laws are unfruitful works of darkness. (Ephesians 5:11). To 'partake' is to share the guilt of the wicked ones, even if we say nothing.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

“The night is far spent, the day is at hand: let us cast off the works of darkness, and let us put on the armour of light.” (Romans 13:12). As the text warns, it is “high time to awake out of sleep” (verse 11). We are well advanced in time, for we are in the end times, the very end. We must at last shed our sins, and association with sins.

Darkness Spreads

The “darkness” is ignorance of divine things and what we ought to do as human beings, accompanied by godlessness and immorality. That is what *skotos* means. It is a darkness that evil people think is light, but the black soul takes over and dominates. This is what we now see amongst homosexuals... a darkness spreading like terminal cancer over all the earth. It will lead to a place of punishment (another synonym for ‘darkness’), hell.

Darkness also refers to evil works and evil powers that dominate the world. Throughout the New Testament ‘darkness’ always denotes something bad. This being so, is it right for Christians to have anything to do with homosexuals? The answer should be self-evident. Rather, we must ‘cast off’ those works of darkness; that is, to keep away from them. Can we do this if we offer services to homosexuals that link to their sins?

The answer, I say, should be obvious. The ‘works’ in the text reinforce this fact, that we may not have anything to do with homosexuality. “Works” are those things that keep an homosexual busy; they are what homosexuals are occupied with; they are fantasised in their minds and hearts, and come out as disgusting actions. And their gross sin includes many other sins of a particularly godless nature. So, ‘works’ are what homosexuals think, say and do.

As for us, we are told that we must do the exact opposite. We must “put on the armour of light”. Note that we are not told to put on clothes of light, but armour – that which protects us from the enemy. And light pierces the darkness, whilst darkness attempts to hide from it. There can be no connection! The armour is there to protect, because works of darkness are evil and do us harm; they taint the soul. I can assure sceptics that this happens.

We cannot, then, have anything to do with wicked people (who practice ANY sin) or what they do. Rather, we must “reprove” them. This means to confute them, to expose what is being done, to bring shame upon them, to call to account, to rebuke severely, to show where they are at fault, and to punish. So, this does not just apply to homosexuals, but to all who sin grossly.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

None of this agrees with the current mood to 'have dialogue' with homosexuals, and to allow them freedom to do or say whatever they wish. When a law demands that we join with them by offering them a service, it means we are actively rejecting the command by God to reprove, and we actively go against *God's law*.

Role of Magistrates

The modern Christian idea that we must obey the law at all costs is spurious. From the start, when judges were first appointed, the law had to be godly, and magistrates (symbolic for all those who would judge on behalf of the law) must themselves be godly... or they were not true magistrates to be obeyed. ***Any law that is not godly is illegitimate and must not come into being.***

"And thou, Ezra, after the wisdom of thy God, that is in thine hand, set magistrates and judges, which may judge all the people that are beyond the river, all such as know the laws of thy God; and teach ye them that know them not." (Ezra 7:25).

Note – the magistrate's job was to instruct the people in the ways of God. It was not their job to instruct in the ways of man, nor to uphold evil laws made by man.

It means that ALL laws MUST be in line with what is godly. Indeed, those who did NOT do the things demanded by God were judged seriously: "And whosoever will not do the law of thy God... let judgment be executed speedily upon him, whether it be unto death, or to banishment... or imprisonment." (Verse 26).

God said homosexuals should be put to death. Do you think this judgment, though amended today, does not remain? Even if humans remove the death penalty, we are still left with the crime, the high-crime of evil defined by God Himself. He will Himself punish the crime with death. He has already done so on a wide scale, with disease.

Thus, no law-maker, no law-officer, no law-official, and no government, has the right to overturn God's laws and replace them with man-made laws. If they do, then what they devise is to be cast out, repudiated and shunned. We have no business upholding such laws. If human laws coincide with God's word, that is acceptable.

Titus 3 says this about magistrates: "*Put them in mind to be subject to principalities and powers,*

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

to obey magistrates, to be ready to every good work". At the same time, this chapter follows on from chapter two, in which we are told to "Speak (these things), and exhort, and rebuke with all authority..." (verse 15). Both apply. There can be no contradiction.

So, how can we speak against wickedness with authority and yet obey magistrates who allow and promote a godless law? We cannot do both, but must also rebuke the magistrate! We may only obey him when he acts according to God's law, as we have already seen. A magistrate may not cause us to join with works of darkness.

We are also to obey those who rule us. Again, this command is nullified if the rulers are godless and attempt to make us do their bidding to disobey God. At that juncture we may not obey. This is exemplified in Daniel, where his three friends directly disobeyed a law set up by those who hated them and whose aim was to destroy them with the law. (We must obey in matters that do not oppose God).

This is exactly what has happened with homosexuals. They have set up laws not because they are universally good, or needful, or desired by the people, but because they are useful for harming Christians and bringing about a situation of free and open sexuality, and overt rebellion against God. We **MUST** not, then, obey such laws, or aid them to be exacted or promoted in any way. Daniel, too, disobeyed a direct law, because it was godless.

Daniel had ushered in a godly form of government in a country based on paganism, but he was hated for it and soon gained enemies in the court, who encouraged the king to develop godless laws, not to enjoy such laws, but in order to CONDEMN Daniel, and the good. Read from chapter two for the background.

The enemies went to the king and reported that Daniel's friends would not worship the king as a god. The furious king had the friends brought to him, demanding why they would not worship him or his false gods. They replied "we will not serve thy gods". For this they were thrown into a furnace.

Is this not exactly the situation today? Would Daniel or the three friends comply by, say, making golden images of false gods? Or, selling them if they had them in their shop? No. Then why

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

should Christians provide services for homosexuals that enhance, aid or promote homosexuality? We cannot.

Discrimination

It is said that we may not discriminate against anyone. Bear in mind that the whole area of 'discrimination' has recently been invented by homosexuals for their own benefit. It has been given a meaning that it does not possess, and is coupled to 'hate speech', which is an old socialist invention resurrected for today. We will not find the word in scripture, but the word itself is still valid, when used properly.

It means to detect a small difference; to distinguish; to make a distinction; to select; to draw a distinction. Really, this discrimination is of *subtle changes* or differences, so it has nothing to do with gross differences, such as in homosexuality. Homosexuality is not a point of discrimination but a *gross* departure from what is true. Therefore, taking into account the actual meaning of 'discrimination', to say we 'discriminate' against homosexuals is blatantly untrue.

We discriminate every day of our lives, in every kind of situation. This is quite normal! We choose one cheese and not another; we select one shirt over another; we prefer one colour car to another; we want the company of a particular person, and not another, and so on. We choose every day of our lives! In itself discrimination is simply a choice between very similar things or actions.

But, homosexuals have made it into an evil, an illegal act, something to be despised, by making the word take on a very different and unrelated meaning... and many Christians use the same term! Yet, *they* actively and horrifically judge and abuse Christians, and the law they devised is used against us, not for anything we have done wrong, but because we will not accept and promote their brand of sin. And because we represent the Lord God they hate.

Christians are called to separate themselves from the wicked, so that we will not be tainted by what they say, do, or think. A bad apple is never made good by all the sound apples in a barrel... it begins a process of rotting. The bad apple must be removed. It is a law of nature, a law devised by God. It is also a law of spiritual life.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

If someone amongst us does evil, he must be removed, so that he does not affect everyone else. Why, then, would we want to deliberately help or sustain homosexuals? Rather, we must tell them to hide away and leave us alone.

It is a fact, when AIDS (then unnamed) first appeared, that a number of high-level professors advocated that homosexuals with AIDS should be isolated, on an island if necessary, to stop its spread. But, the idea was shot down in flames by homosexuals and pro-gay immoral government... even though this *would* occur with ANY other virulent plague! As a result of this PC folly, we now have millions dead, many of whom were not homosexuals, but were infected by them. (June 2012 note: Because of this stupidity, we now have an *even worse* disease unleashed by homosexuals, one that kills people who do not indulge in sexual perversion).

Asa removed his own mother for having idols in a grove, and "*destroyed her idol*". Asa's son removed all the sodomites from the land.

This

should be our attitude today! They have no place as gross evil-doers in any land, and deserve no respect whatever for their loathsome lifestyles. The only regard we can give them is that which is due to all creatures made by God... but their wickedness we must abhor completely and publicly.

And note that idolatry and homosexuality go together. Homosexuals have made a god of their sexual pleasures, though such godless pleasures lead to an early death and suffering of many kinds. But, worse than that, they also kill many others who are innocent of the crime. So, when reading about 'idolatry', also include homosexuality as the same kind of thing, equally condemned by God.

Judging Others

Oddly, and though the opposite is shown in scripture, we are told by many Christians that we 'must not judge'. This is only true if we are ourselves guilty of the things we judge! Otherwise we can and MUST judge. We cannot absolutely judge another's salvation, but we may imply someone is not saved if their acts are contrary to holiness. Also, we may only judge fellow believers (as people). When it comes to unbelievers, such as homosexuals, we may judge what they *do*, rather than their unsaved souls. This does not stop us rebuking wrong behaviour. (The above is all scriptural).

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

It is an absurdity to suggest that we cannot judge, when we make judgments all the time every day! We cannot harbour hatred, nor engender it. Criticism alone is not hatred but honesty, for criticism is a part of human existence and daily life.

When it comes to sins mentioned by God, and especially those sins that He calls gross (such as murder, fraud, homosexuality, etc), we **MUST** judge them as evil and we **MUST** avoid those who do them. And, in some cases, we must judge them in law and even apply the death sentence. See relevant articles.

David and His Enemies

Throughout the Old Testament God commanded his people to go to war, or to kill certain people because of their immorality and irreverence towards Him. They were God's enemies and He brought complete destruction upon them. We cannot get away from this stark fact.

God showered Sodom with a hail of fire and brimstone for its evils... homosexuality and the most extreme sexual depravities. He killed every last sexual deviant in the city and in the cities around that followed the same evils. But, those very few who belonged to Him He brought out. Sadly, Lot's wife decided to look back, against God's warning, and was turned to a pillar of salt. Beware harking back to sodomy for any reason, and Christians who do so are like Lot's wife. When it arises, walk away and do not even glance at it, or listen to the reasons and claims. Do not talk about it with homosexuals. We cannot debate the 'values' of sin!

Psalm One, verse one: *"blessed is the man that walketh not in the counsel of the ungodly, nor standeth in the way of sinners, nor sitteth in the seat of the scornful."*

This is direct. We may not have anything to do with homosexuals. It is not up to us to go to them for any reason – it is up to them to seek us out to repent and apologise for their actions. Or, to simply hide away, to live in shame.

Psalm 2 is a vivid picture of current homosexual activity against us. We are told that "The Lord shall have them in derision". (Verse 4). Should we not, as His children, *also* treat them with derision? Homosexuality has nothing of worth, and no credibility; it is wicked and godless, full of filth and sin. Would you willingly go to sleep on a dung-hill? Would you willingly live with a rotting corpse?

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

God would *“vex them in his sore displeasure”*. (Verse 5). I really cannot uphold the Christian argument that we must appease homosexuals or in any way give them a ‘service’ that promotes their evils. Instead,

“Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter’s vessel.”

(Verse 9). We might not be called to do the same physically to homosexuals, but the spiritual principle is there – there is no way we can consider any kind of relationship with homosexuals!

Psalm 3 speaks of God smashing the teeth of His enemies. Psalm 5 tells us that no wicked person can stand before God, because He *“hateth all workers of iniquity.”* (Verse 5). *“their inward part is very wicked”*

(verse 9) and, if you will excuse my pun: *“make thy way straight before my face”!*

David asks of God a very simple thing: *“Destroy them, O God”* (verse 10). Nothing namby-pamby about David! Do I pray that God will destroy homosexuals (by whatever means He wishes to use: in other words to get rid of them or to silence them)? Yes, I do, because their evils are worldwide and cause much suffering to Christians and others, they teach children to follow their example and so infect them with a foul and fatal disease, and they openly defy God.

No doubt this will shock some readers, but I would ask them a simple question: *“What do you REALLY think in your heart?”*

Would you object if your son was seduced into homosexuality, contracted HIV and died of AIDS? Would you still want to show friendship, or give help to unrepentant homosexuals? I doubt it very much. If you lived at the time of the Second World War, did you pray for Hitler’s well-being? Or, for his downfall? It is time for a reality check! (Also see A-278 and A-455)

God is Angry

“God is angry with the wicked every day” (Psalm 7:10). *“He hath also prepared for him the instruments of death; he ordained his arrows against the persecutors.”*

(Verse 13). Is this the language of help and friendship? Can you not see the irreconcilable gulf between what God does and says and what we foolishly say and do? He is not hampered by emotions and is not swayed by homosexual propaganda! I recognise many phrases and sentiments arising from homosexual propaganda, in words used by Christians, who are unaware that this is so.

In Exodus 15:6 we see that God *“dashed in pieces the enemy”*. *“And if ye go to war... against the enemy that oppresseth you... ye shall be saved from your enemies”*

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

(Numbers 10:9).

“and he shall thrust out the enemy from before thee; and shall say, Destroy them.”

(Deuteronomy 33:27).

“For if a man find his enemy, will he let him go well away?”

(1 Samuel 24:19).

The Old Testament, then, is replete with God commanding His people to smite and destroy their enemies. There is no softness in this, only God’s command. An enemy is an enemy! Leave him alone and he will return stronger and worse. And that is what has happened with homosexuals. That is why they repeat the taunt of devilish enemies of the past: *“mine enemies reproach me; while they say daily unto me, Where is thy God?”* (Psalm 42:10).

And we must ask God, “O God, how long shall the adversary reproach? Shall the enemy blaspheme thy name forever?” (Psalm 74:10). It is a reasonable query, even today. But, we must understand that they do so because we have remained silent. Because we have not ‘discriminated’* against them, because we have not treated them like a true enemy. (* Remember this is a wrongly-used word).

Yet, *“Rejoice not when thine enemy falleth, and let not thine heart be glad when he stumbleth.”* (Proverbs 24:17). Is this a strange thing to say after reading of God smiting enemies to death? No, not really. It is consistent. In my own case I loathe homosexuality, but will readily help any homosexual in dire straits if he genuinely requires it of me, as I have done in the past. Even so I look for the demise of God-hating homosexuals if they refuse to repent.

As I have told some on a few occasions, with reference to a past role in psychiatry amongst convicted ‘insane’ killers... I was trained to deal with violent men, and often had to do so alone, without weapons or any kind of help. As a result I was often injured, sometimes badly. But, even so, during an incident I never became angry. Afterwards, even if injured, I never sought vengeance and did not hate. Thus, I could enter the lion’s den and still come out without stain against me. This should be our attitude – we must call on God to remove our foul enemies but may not hate them when they have been dealt with. The reason is that we could be as they are, except for the wondrous salvation of Almighty God!

Wolf in the Fold

A little later, in Proverbs 24:24,25, it says *“He that saith unto the wicked, Thou art righteous; him*

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

shall the people curse, nations shall abhor him; But to them that rebuke, him shall be delight, and a good blessing shall come upon them.”

Sadly, our enemy has prevailed because of our laxity. Many ‘Christians’ have stood by homosexuals, claiming them to be good and equal, thus letting the wolf into the fold to devour the sheep, making them out to be good, when they are horrifically wicked. God will indeed judge those Christians for their very real sin.

Others make sure they treat homosexuals ‘fairly’ – but homosexuals should never be in a public place as homosexuals anyway! Like anyone else, homosexuals can have talents and skills, but they must keep their sexual preferences to themselves and not speak about them, because it is shameful.

And so, *“Israel hath cut off the thing that is good: the enemy shall pursue him.”* (Hosea 8:3). We have invited our own downfall and must repent. But, at the same time, we must plead with God to ruin the enemy, who has

“sown the wind, and they shall reap the whirlwind...”

(Verse 7).

You might think Old Testament truths no longer apply, and quote Jesus’ words: *“Ye have heard that it hath been said, Thou shalt love thy neighbour, and hate thine enemy. But, I say unto you, Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for them that despitefully use you, and persecute you.”*

(Matthew 5:43,44). And: “

Be ye therefore perfect”.

Now, what does that mean? We have so far read texts that say God hates those who hate Him. He says very forcefully, through Jehu: *“Shouldst thou help the ungodly, and love them that hate the LORD: therefore is wrath upon thee from before the LORD.”*

(2 Chronicles 19:2).

We also have another warning not to rely only on one text... *“Curse ye Meroz, said the angel of the LORD, curse ye bitterly the inhabitants thereof; because they came not to the help of the LORD, to the help of the LORD against the mighty.”*

(Judges 5:23). Then we have a stark picture of a woman doing God’s bidding by physically striking down the enemy, Judges 5:24-on!! Verse 31 says “So let thine enemies perish, O LORD.”

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

These texts cannot be ignored, but must form a background to what Jesus said about our enemies. I believe the answer is very simple: Jesus was instructing *individuals* in how they should respond to

individual
enemies.

So, What is this Love?

Thus, God can hate whomever He wishes – but we must love. He can destroy His enemies – but we must love the enemy. It can only apply to our individual enemies, not to armies or movements. Thus, we must hate the homosexual movement and homosexual behaviour, but love those individuals caught in its terrible wickedness... but 'love' must be defined properly.

It does NOT mean harbouring or helping those who are wicked to continue in their wickedness! Nor does it ever mean allowing them to get away with the most horrendous of evils; rather, they must be judged by law and punished. Or/and, judged by society and shunned. Why? Because what they say and do is so offensive, wicked, dangerous and harmful.

The 'love' in 'love your enemies' is based on agape - *agapao*. There are two possible meanings: one is to respect another person, the other is to show fondness. We may not offer the latter, because we cannot show fondness for those whom God hates. Therefore, the 'love' must be a regard for others because they are created beings.

Some Christians might object to that, but it is a demand made by God. Also, any one of us could be tempted along homosexual lines, so we are not better than homosexuals, only saved from their gross sins by the grace of God.

It is a lie to suggest homosexuals are a 'community' or special – they are just ordinary human beings who have chosen a wicked form of life, including perverse sexual relations. (It IS a choice – a choice of sins far removed from 'normal' sins, and containing a vast number of other sins).

The 'community' homosexuals say they belong to does not exist, for most homosexuals move

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

back to normality regularly, if not totally. This is because 'homosexual' only describes what they do, not what they are... what they are is heterosexual, with a bad sexual choice.

This 'love' cannot involve us in their sins, whether actively or by an obnoxious silence. We cannot be complicit in any way, whether by notion, suggestion, implication, association, speech, thought, or act.

We may not harm them. This does NOT mean we cannot be critical or speak out publicly – these we MUST do as part of our witness. And, if they act appallingly in public we must witness to the truth and object to obscenity, etc.

This also means we must use law to punish if necessary (e.g. re Uganda's aim to use the death penalty against homosexuals who rape children and infect them with AIDS). If Christians complained about every single act done in public, or foul speech, because it is offensive, we might get somewhere. This particularly applies to public displays of pornographic activity, such as Gay Pride parades.

We may not take revenge if attacked or persecuted. Believe me, this is the hardest thing to do, in spite of the way some Christians openly claim to 'forgive' those who have attacked them (often because they have not themselves suffered at their hands). Yes, but it can take time! We are humans on this earth, saved but not yet in glory! Never dismiss those who find it hard.

Now, you can read the scenario I referred to earlier, sent to me by a fellow believer. Read it carefully and, with the background information above, come to your conclusion. Then, read my own conclusions.

Concerning the "Bull case" he mentions: you probably know that Mr and Mrs Bull refused an homosexual couple to stay in a double bed at their Christian guest-house, but did offer them two single rooms. This was consistent with their 'married-couples- only' policy, which had been in force for 25 years (but which will be nullified if and when government say homosexuals can 'marry'). And, to me, government should not have powers to penalise a business-owner for refusing to serve someone.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

Before the couple arrived, Stonewall, a particularly vicious homosexual group, warned the Bulls of court action if they did not comply with pro-gay laws (in particular, the Sexual Orientation Regulations concerning services). Then the couple arrived – it was a ‘set-up’ by Stonewall. The Bulls felt it was sinful to offer them a room together, and rightly so. The court sentenced them for going against the law and so they are currently under real threat of losing everything, because of homosexual hatred and manipulation of law. The Christian who sent the scenario completely agrees that homosexuality is wicked.

The Craftsman Part I

Summary re: Bull case (so far)

Total agreement:

- Homosexuality, ever an abomination before God.
- We should do nothing to promote or condone homosexuality

Point of ‘contention’: how to deal with homosexual provocation of believers, particularly as in the sad case of the Bull’s.

I suggest ‘love your enemies’ *et al* seems to be noticeably absent from the general ‘Christian response’ at the moment, and that it should surely be at the forefront of any answer we give!

‘Love is the fulfilling of the law’ Rom.13.10; see also James 2.8,9.

I say offering a professional public service to homosexuals NEED NOT be seen as condoning

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

homosexuality. Their evil tactics make it uncomfortable for Christians, but, I think, NEED NOT do much more than that.

As an aside, were we not up in arms when an Arab policeman was recently granted permission not to defend the Israeli Embassy because of his faith? Surely we are asking for the same principle in the present case. We are asking to discriminate who we offer a double room to because of our faith. We strongly disagreed with the decision re: the Arab policeman – why do we suddenly think the right decision in our case should be to allow discrimination?

(Editor: The policeman objected not because of his faith but because Muslims hate Jews and this is explicit in the Koran. We cannot compare true faith, which is God-given, and 'faith - human belief' in false religions)

What I do think needs considering is whether we can count their provocation as 'a hate crime' – I presume we see it in that light, and isn't that the law, that if it is 'PERCEIVED' that way, then 'hate crimes' might be being committed by these people? Possibly the Christian Institute might do better to follow this route, than public discrimination.

(Editor: homosexuals used this exact 'rule' even before the Sexual Orientation law came into being! They were instructed by activists to write that they 'perceived' my actions to be 'hateful'... and they got away with it. ANY homosexual can claim to 'perceive' hatred where none exists! What was my 'hatred'? I simply said 'Homosexuality is unnatural and unhealthy' – exact words - in a professional journal in which a health professor told readers that it was 'natural and healthy'!).

Discussion Scenario

However, let's assume Christians have to endure these God-hating tactics of the gay community - I offer the following scenario for consideration:

Mr Lord is a Master craftsman. He took on his father's business about ten years ago – a joinery,

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

just off the high street in a small rural town. An idyllic location.

He's thinking he will continue to work part-time once he reaches retirement age, which is just a few years away. He feels deeply the loss of his wife of thirty-six years, who died four months ago, after a third stroke.

He is very well respected in the town, and although his prices are not cheap, he is never short of work because of the quality of his finished products. He knows shoddy work is an insult to his Lord.

He is known for his honesty, and uprightness, and is not ashamed to be known for his trust in God and His Word.

One morning two young men came into his joinery. They were obviously an 'item'. Mr. Lord had half been expecting them. He had heard of their tactics, and guessed he was probably now about to go through one of their well-planned rituals.

The men wanted the craftsman to make them a superking-sized bed. It needed to be very sturdy, they told him, because they would certainly be giving it some 'very rough treatment'. They then gave Mr Lord further details of their particular requirements.

Would – should - Mr Lord make the bed for these two men?

-

Would he not be condoning their abomination before God if he made it for them?

-

Should he refuse, knowing he would be taken to court for discrimination?

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

I give my answer in Part II, below.

The Craftsman Part II

Well, what did you decide?

Godly Mr Lord did make the bed.

This is how he felt he could do it:

The two young men came into his joinery, making it obvious that they were a 'couple', and a 'couple' very much in love. They deliberately want to repulse Mr Lord, so that he will refuse to make the bed, and then they can take him to court for discriminating against them.

Mr. Lord said 'Hello'

The men told him that they were soon to be 'married', and that they wanted him to make them a superking sized bed for their new home.

They told him that the bed would need to be very sturdy, because they would certainly be giving it some 'very rough treatment'.

Mr Lord said nothing. He just paid attention to their story, to ensure he didn't miss the details requested for the bed.

When the pair had finished saying what they wanted, and why they wanted it, Mr Lord spoke: "A pinewood superking sized bed, with the designs requested, will cost you £950, and I will need

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

all the monies in advance, please. It will be about a month before it is ready.”

The two men agreed the deal, reiterated their main requests, and paid Mr. Lord.

Mr Lord said ‘Thank you’ for the payment, gave the men a receipt, and told the men to ring him in about four weeks’ time. The men offered to shake hands as they said goodbye and left.

Mr Lord shook their hands and nodded his head. He then went for his notebook. He was going to write all the details of the bed down. This bed would be made just like all his other work – for His Saviour’s glory.

Was Mr Lord right, or wrong, to make the bed?

I think he was right.

With the men he ignores the obvious attempts at provocation, and concentrates solely on the business arrangement.

He does not enter into friendly chatter with them – he remains polite and professional throughout.

He was half expecting something like this to happen, so he had thought long and hard about what he should do if it actually did happen. He came to the conclusion that he could make a bed for a gay couple because he would not be condoning homosexuality by making it – HE IS JUST MAKING THE BED! Making a bed does not make him responsible for what is eventually done on that bed. He would prefer his bed wasn’t going to be used by homosexuals, but other beds he has made might have been used unknowingly for ‘sinful’ practises – was it wrong of him to make those? Of course not.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

These men stupidly informed Mr Lord what 'sinful' (though not unlawful) practices it is likely to be part of. Mr Lord chooses to ignore the efforts of the men to make him feel guilt over the matter. The gays cannot control what we choose to do with their ploys – we do NOT have to go down the road they want us to.

The men's all-round behaviour is a deliberate attempt to hurt a believer who loves his God. The men's ploy is despicable. But, God knows that any 'fault' felt by the believer, through dealing with these people, has not been wilful.

He knows he would be breaking the law if he discriminated against the men's lawful request and, for all the above, he sees no need to resort to that (in this case).

Question to ponder?

As Mr Lord made the bed, and when the gays finally put the bed in their home, did God pour out His fury on His child, Mr Lord?

A resolute NO!

The Lord sees the heart.

He has known from the start His child never wanted to do anything against His will and word.

He knew his child's heart was grieved, in this case, to KNOW what would be done with his craftsmanship, but it was a lawful request, and knew he felt he had to offer to make the bed to avoid discriminating (judging/respecting persons?) against them. He didn't have to publicly object.

His child is not responsible for those two men's sins. His child is responsible for his own sin, and what sin or sins has he committed here? I don't see any. Please tell me, if you see any. Thank you.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

A couple of further examples of other 'jobs' that gays could 'attack' (to show that the list is endless), and that I think we have to accept that we beat the gays at their own game. Let us trust that God sees our hearts as we endure their deliberate attempt to get us to discriminate against them. I say: IGNORE THEIR PATHETIC WICKEDNESS, and do your job in His Name (Col.3.17)

i. A Bakery, run by a Christian family – these same two men come in and ask for cream cakes because they want to have sexual fun with them later on. Does the assistant refuse – no, she IGNORES their comments and sells the cakes

ii. Car salesman, a Christian – the same two men tell the salesman that they want a car that enables two people to comfortably lie fully down in because Does the salesman refuse to help them? No. He listens for details relating to the car but IGNORES the attempt to make him feel wrong in going through with a deal.

We do NOT have to go down the road they want us to

We can CHOOSE what we do with their ploys

With the Lord's help we CAN overcome evil with good

Amen

Postscript

Which witness of the Christian probably has the most effect on the gays?

i. The standard – 'your activities repulse me' type response, or

ii. The ability to continue to do good despite deliberate provocation?

So, that is the scenario. What are your responses? Is the conclusion reached correct? Or is it flawed?

Analysis of the Scenario

I believe the Christian response should NOT be at the forefront – only God’s word and law has that place, particularly as humans can make some very poor decisions at times. Christians must declare what God says, not their own judgments. What follows are my personal biblical comments/caveats. Overall, it is up to each Christian’s conscience, so long as it is under God:

1.

To me, this *is* complicity. And, even with one bed, he has *already* gone down the road demanded by homosexuals. Obviously, every decision made by a Christian is according to conscience... but the conscience can be ‘tampered-with’ by poor judgment. The craftsman thinks he is right – I do not. However, it was up to him... I would have done something else.

2.

The couple tell their friends, who also give orders. Before long the business only has time to make items for homosexuals, used by them for activities illicit before the Lord. Is his business and skill *then* a glory to God? And, is the man confusing his skill with his selling decision, which is linked to his spiritual decision?

3.

To me, there is a difference between selling ordinary items already made (‘neutral’) and making items made specifically to order for sexually perverse reasons. But, even then, there is a need for Christians to rethink the entire situation very carefully. For example, Christian newsagents who sell cigarettes and dubious newspapers or magazines. There are many more examples!

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

4.

Others, not necessarily Christian, and not privy to his thoughts, see what the businessman is doing and, whether he accepts it or not, they are observing and thinking his decision is pro-gay rather than pro-glory to God. Weaker brethren, too, think that if such a well-respected Christian can do this, then homosexuality cannot be that bad after all. A few even try out homosexuality, thus entering into sin.

5.

The man says he did it to the glory of God, because what mattered was his skill, not the product or its use – but did he speak to those in his church before saying that? Did they agree (we must be mindful of what our fellows think, as scripture advises)? Is it true to say that because he used his skills and did not witness, that his work was a glory to God?

6.

A man opens a shop next door, selling witchcraft books and items. He has heard of the Golden Calf from scripture and asks the craftsman, who is also good at carving, to make a wooden image of a calf, which he would paint with gold and place in the window. As with the homosexuals, the craftsman says nothing but 'gives glory to God' by carving a fine example of a calf; he says he is not responsible for what the carving was to be used for. The owner puts it in his window and a card with it, commending the carver next door. Would Christians still see it as acceptable? Was his conclusion right?

7.

The craftsman said nothing – but what if the homosexuals used sexually explicit language? I have silenced homosexuals who did this, and heterosexuals who used similar language. Can the craftsman still remain quiet? Is his silence, too, to the glory of God?

8.

A Christian prisoner in a Nazi concentration camp is a skilled chemist. He is commanded to find a suitable mix of chemicals with which to kill thousands of Jews. It is legal because it is a Nazi law to exterminate Jews. The man used his skills and said "It is to the glory of God". Another Christian prisoner, an American baseball-player, is ordered to beat Jews with clubs until they are dead. That is also 'legal'. So, as he is using his baseball skills, he says it is to the glory of God. In which way is this different from the scenario above?

9.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

A Christian soldier blows up an innocent family because he is ordered to. It was legal in terms of military law, but was he right? Can he get away with “But, I was ordered to do it?”

10.

The homosexual couple saw the decision a victory for their evils. They boasted to their friends of how they caused a Christian to back-down on his conscience (though they do not know why he did it), and his decision is used as a propaganda tool in ‘The Pink News’ to bring shame on other Christians, who are said to be ‘hateful’. They could do this because the craftsman did not once witness to them of Christ, which would involve him in telling the truth. Should the craftsman have considered the repercussions of his actions?

11.

Because the man instantly complied, the couple and activists use it as a reason to brow-beat other Christians, and demand complicity in many other areas of business, thinking that the craftsman gave in because of fear. That the craftsman secretly thought otherwise is not relevant, for the outcome was bad and continued to bring about harassment of others. So, what he thought was good for him personally, ended up being very bad for other Christians.

12.

The craftsman believed he should not ‘discriminate’, even though God does (Also see notes above, where we see the word ‘discriminate’ used wrongly by homosexuals and Christians alike). We are to judge those who sin, and if the sin is public it must be rebuked publicly, because silence is the same as complicity and approval of sin.

13.

To say that God did not “pour out His fury” on the craftsman is sheer speculation, a guess made on the proposal that it is wrong to ‘discriminate’. Also, very often, God does not ‘pour out His fury’ on errant Christians – instead, He might allow them to savour the end results of their folly... so whilst God may not strike the man down instantly, we do *not* know if his life took a downturn because of the foolish decision.

14.

The two business scenarios (cakes and cars) are almost irrelevant, because we cannot command a Christian to do this or that, nor may we condemn him for acting against the homosexuals. It is a personal act of conscience where pre-made goods are ‘neutral’. Therefore, a Christian must stand or fall by his own conscience.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

15.

We must distinguish what is specifically made for homosexual sin, and what is made for neutral purposes, but bought subsequently by homosexuals. Thus, homosexuals buying items in a shop already produced, including a pre-made bed, is acceptable. But, placing an order for items specifically for homosexual purposes is not. For example, a Christian printer is asked to print posters of gay men acting in a lewd manner, for public sale. We know this happens, because they *are* for sale on the internet! Is he right to refuse? The same printer is asked to print leaflets listing ways homosexual men can groom children for sex, and they are only for a select number of private subscribers. Is he wrong to refuse?

He is asked to print booklets containing sex activities for homosexuals, with candid photos (same goes for similar products requested by heterosexuals). Should he refuse? Or, he is asked to produce hateful posters that deride and scorn God, using sexually explicit wording? Should he refuse? All these things have been printed before, so it does happen. I would argue that homosexuals will target Christian printers not just to make them squirm, but to eye them up for prosecution if they refuse.

1.

"We can choose what we do with their ploys". This is naïve. We cannot choose anything in real life. As a nurse I was ordered to nurse homosexuals. This I did so long as there were no homosexual connotations. I refused to buy pornographic books for homosexual patients, and for this I was told I could be dismissed and removed from the nursing register. My only course of action was to refuse, because there was no alternative to this 'ploy'.

2.

We are given two possible 'witnesses' that Christians should observe. In reality BOTH must apply. Homosexual activities ARE disgusting and reprobate, and homosexuals MUST be told this. At the SAME TIME, where we are face-to-face with homosexuals, we may, IF prompted by God to do so, witness to them with good works. But, NOT if we do such works as a way to pacify them, and never to do so if they then think they have subdued us.

3.

It is a fact that homosexuals take an inch, then a mile, then ten miles, *ad infinitum*. They do not stop and never give in. What they demand today is as nothing compared to what they will demand tomorrow... and every concession we make is their stepping-stone to wilder and more wicked steps, as they overwhelm Christians with a flood of filth.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

4.

Major problems for the craftsman are the texts referring to the offering of meat to idols in the New Testament. In Acts 15:29, we are warned to avoid meats offered to idols if it means accepting their offering. In 21:25 we are warned not to believe in these sins and to keep ourselves from things offered to idols... for if any man sees us sitting at meat in the idol's temple, and he is weak, he will do the same things. They do what they do in obedience to devils (1 Corinthians 10:20) and we must have no fellowship with them. We cannot drink to both Christ and devils (verse 21)... all things are not expedient (verse 23). This is, by spiritual precedent, applicable to many things we might think to do. And, for this reason, the craftsman was wrong in this particular instance. Why? Because he was told that his product would be used for devilish purposes, and yet did what was asked. He therefore defied what scripture says.

5.

Which qualifies as 'loving your enemy'? Telling them they are bound for hell unless they repent and are saved? Or, just taking their money and saying nothing?

The above are just a few caveats to the proposed scenario. What do you think of both sets of answers? Is it really hateful to speak out? Or, is it wise to be complicit? That is, if doing what the craftsman did was 'complicity'? Is it right to judge ('discrimination' being a wrong word to use) what God calls reprobate and an abomination? It is not my purpose to 'win' the argument against my brother, but just to present what I truly believe to be a Biblical answer.

To sum up: we can offer services that are neutral, such as selling goods already made, in a shop. This is because God shines on the good as well as on the wicked. Even the wicked are allowed by God to purchase goods. If we do sell to homosexuals, the same moral rules apply as to anyone else – they may not speak evil with sexually perverse talk in public; if they do, we may throw them out of the business or complain to the police. That is what the craftsman should have done (if they were more explicit than the scenario suggests). At the very least he should have silenced them.

But, we may not provide services that enhance, promote or display homosexuality in any form. Nor may we offer double rooms to homosexual couples, because to do so is to comply with their wish to do things that are evil and disgusting in your home, again compromising what scripture says. That the home is also a business is of no consequence. (The same ought to apply to

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

hotels, in more godly times).

Now, let me make some more definitions:

Homosexuality has no 'rights' in God's eyes. He says homosexuals are reprobate and that He will cast them into hell. They have no legal rights on earth AS HOMOSEXUALS, according to God.

They have no rights under God to do what they do, no rights to foist what they do on others, no rights to live openly as they do, no rights to make demands that foster their sexual perversions, and no rights to force us to remain silent or to comply with their wishes. What they do are works of darkness, which we must, at all costs, avoid personally, and yet shine a light on them as a shame. If, then, a man does not wish to even talk to them, it is his God-given prerogative.

Homosexuality MUST be given stigma and MUST be treated as foul. The scenario above, then, can be questioned in the most robust way. Homosexuals must keep their silence. Only those who repent and change may be enjoined. It is wrong to assume that love for the enemy and rebuke for their behaviour are incompatible.

The fact that homosexuals have been given rights, and have been allowed to come 'out of the closet' is irrelevant – Christians cannot uphold those laws and cannot recognise any of their claims. If homosexuals do not like that, then they must remain silent, for they should never be 'out of the closet' in the first place.

All the claims made today by homosexuals are false, and they lie constantly. They are trying to be not just equal-to heterosexuals, but *superior* to them (even though all homosexuals are just heterosexuals in perverse clothing). They have nothing to offer AS HOMOSEXUALS, because their sins and lives are worthless. That is what scripture says. Any human laws that promote or protect gross sin are also worthless and to be condemned, not obeyed.

So, am I right in my caveats and in this article as a whole? Or, are the statements in the scenario right? Note that several words and concepts found in the scenario are taken from homosexual propaganda, though not intended by the author who wrote it.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

I encourage Christians to fully understand what is meant by 'loving your enemies', so that they do not aid the enemy to destroy Christians or to act against God.

There is a pathetic general enabling and acceptance of everything wicked in this world today. It is all against God's word and plan, and against proper thinking. Indeed, it is illogical to accept and promote something that brings disease and social evil upon a nation! From long experience, I know what happens when we give even one inch to homosexuals. I also know what it is like to show Christian weakness. Those who hate Christians will fall upon us like rabid dogs, to rip us apart, if we once give in to even the smallest of their demands or desires.

Homosexuals do not see any leeway we show as an effect of goodness, but as a sure sign of our weakness and fear. They then drive the knife in harder until we die. They have no interest whatever in living alongside us, but only in our complete destruction or enslavement. I know all this because that is what they have said over the past forty years! It is what is behind the various 'pro-gay' laws.

The laws we now see are only preliminary steps. What is planned as a follow-up is so obnoxious as to be not suitable for these pages. Already, paedophilia, necrophilia, bestiality, and many other wicked sexual perversions, are waiting to be given legal acceptance! AND ALL BECAUSE CHRISTIANS HAVE BEEN LAX, AND NOW ALLOW THE EVIL TO CONTINUE WITHOUT OPPOSITION.

So, whether you think the idea behind 'The Craftsman' is sound, or my response, it does not matter. What matters is that we must recognise what is going on before our very eyes. The fact that Christians are debating pro-gay laws as if they were valid, is a clear indication that we have lost our way. We are discussing things that are a shame on anyone's lips!

Further Notes

Over the decades I have watched homosexuality turning on its own axis. At first every homosexual KNEW he or she was wrong and was sinning. It is why they kept to themselves, and why the public generally treated them with disdain. Then, in the 1970s, wicked activists arose who literally intimidated and cheated their way out of the psychiatric handbooks. They fraudulently brought about a big change, even though psychiatrists KNEW homosexuality was a mental aberration.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

From that time, confrontational activism became the norm and homosexuals began to 'come out of the closet' WITH PRIDE – an unheard of stance. In the 1990s, along came the book every activist uses to force people along their way of thinking ('After the Ball'). Notably, they never bothered with the ordinary people, but only targeted higher politicians, university heads, and so on (as suggested by the book).

The idea was simple - flatter and praise leaders for being so new-age and progressive; even give them bottle-top 'awards' - and they would give homosexuals anything they wanted. Then, they would get homosexual groups like Stonewall to write their policies, which they did. Now, Stonewall has gone even farther, by being the rule behind government. The inch has been made into a forever-stretching mile.

After that came the very late use of the homosexually-coined word, 'homophobia'. Though a nonsense-word, it was changed several times until what was at first supposed to be a 'fear of homosexuals', was turned into a 'hatred for homosexuals'!

Then, with this under their belt, activists spent a long time 'proving' that people hated them and victimised them. It was all a lie, a massive confidence trick, but no-one bothered to check it out. So, Christians, who usually say nothing even when a sin is totally and wickedly widespread, became targets of homosexual hatred. We now see the results of this silence, which, in reality, is complicity in sin.

The propaganda is so completely successful that many Christians are on the side of homosexuals and fall over themselves to show them 'love', a word they use as hype, not with any definition or any practical use. As I have shown, they never define what they mean by 'love', and so they become the allies of homosexuality. No Christian should allow his emotions to rule his head and spirit!

If we liken true life to a river, sparkling and refreshing, coming from the heart of God, then we can liken homosexuality to raw sewage coming from the black heart of Satan. Having said that, homosexuality is just sin, and the punishment for ALL sin, even those things we think are minor, is hell, but for the grace of God in salvation.

Though this is so, homosexuality is specially abhorred by God, and we should recognise that

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

fact. And, where this sin crosses over the moral divide by actually forcing others into its fold, it makes me very angry. Such anger is righteous anger, for not only does the sin mar the image of God, but it also openly defies God and forces His people comply with a great evil.

If it were not for this enforcement of sin on others, with its public display of hatred for God, I would say almost nothing. It is the public enforcement that should make people angry, not the sin itself, which is to the detriment of those who indulge in it. Anger should also be shown for the way 'homosexuals' infect others with a deadly disease, when those others (unsuspecting wives, babies, etc) know nothing of the person's sexual depravity.

The General Picture

'Loving our enemies' must fit into this general picture. It cannot be ignored. Of course, I have been accused of showing a lack of love for this particular enemy. This I reject. The reason this subject has received much attention from me is very simple – homosexuality is the major and almost sole enemy we have at this time. It is trying to force Christians to drink the raw sewage. Therefore, it must be opposed strongly. At times it brings real anger. But, never assume this means I have no care for these people.

Indeed, it does not matter *what* I write about – someone, somewhere will call it 'hatred'! Since my ministry began I have received streams of abusive emails and letters, and some to my face, telling me I hate this or that. Why? Because I do not offer platitudes. I refuse to do so, when God Himself tells us that all sin is wicked and deserving of death.

It is also true that I have, in the past, been badly affected by the attacks of homosexuals, which all came without any reason... except that I do not accept homosexual sin as normal. And, on rare occasions (and I have indicated these), I have shown human anger, not righteous anger, when the enemy has pressed in on all sides at once, giving me no respite. It is not an excuse, but a reason. And, I doubt if anyone else would act differently.

The attacks I speak of were horrendous, but, mainly, I believe I acted in a righteous manner. In fact, almost all homosexuals I have ever known have been treated kindly by me. So, I reject the idea that I act out of hatred for homosexuals. I have been in the 'front line' for four decades.

It is to be expected that a frail human being will, on occasions, not act exactly as others wish. It

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

is because of the 'old man' within. But, even so, this is NOT my usual response. Tough yes; hard words, yes. But, not hatred. One fact many Christians forget is that I am called by God to teach scripture. I value this calling above all else, and know that what I say and write is prompted by the Holy Spirit. Those not called use human arguments and have no idea what it is to speak from God.

I challenge any who think I show hatred to face the same enemy for four decades without flaw! I may be an 'old war horse' because of my involvement, but old war horses come to learn good ways to deal with enemies in a way that is above hatred!

The answer to opposing homosexuality is the Gospel. This Gospel will have extremely limited responses, because God says so (that is, almost no homosexuals will be saved). He also says that homosexuals are reprobates, which means He has cast them off from Himself in readiness for hell. Do not pretend that you can make that much of a difference to this particular brand of sinners, by being extra-'loving' (which I would call foolishness), when God says they are cast off!

Homosexuals are not a special kind of people, only gross sinners. Their need is the same as ours – repentance and salvation. And if they do not repent, we must shun them and oppose what they do. If an individual is touched by the Spirit and repents, then we may legitimately show compassion and help them though the Gospel. But, not otherwise.

For reasons I cannot fathom, many Christians are eager to make a show of compassion for homosexuals, though God does the exact opposite! Any homosexual who wants to change has my compassion – but no-one else. This is the Bible model of compassion, not the blanket 'love' so common today.

In this section I have shown you what God expects of us. I believe I adhere to this. DO YOU? The only reason homosexuality is 'complex' is that homosexuals (and weak Christians) have made it so, to fudge the issue and to condemn the righteous. Do not side with them against believers, by saying the brethren 'hate' when they do not. It is much closer to truth to say that most Christians today are aiding Satan, by siding with homosexuality in all kinds of ways, particularly in human law, which is shameful.

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

And, friends, if you see that splinter in my eye, please remove the log in your own eye before you kindly remove my splinter! And remember that old war horses carry many scars of battle... do you?

Disclaimer: Though some will say otherwise, nothing in this paper contains 'hate-speech' (another homosexually-devised propaganda phrase). It is tough-talking and honest, giving a rightful Christian response to homosexuality. That some think otherwise will not alter what is said, which has taken some time to write, though we have said the same things consistently for many years.

Few Christians understand what is truly happening on the world stage. They can repeat what they read, and formulate personalised reactions, but they are not called by God to speak to fellow Believers or to those in the world on the subject. Theirs is a second-hand head-knowledge rather than a Spirit-given response given by God, based on very deep knowledge and experience.

My warning is simple: be very careful what you say you accept or reject, for your words will probably come back one day to bite you. And when Christians side with people who are craven, reprobate and cast away by God, it allows the wicked to use what they say and do to their own evil advantage, and this cannot be tolerated. God help us all to think, speak and act according to God's word and not our own.

"I have set thee [for] a tower [and] a fortress among my people, that thou mayest know and try their way.

They [are] all grievous revolters, walking with slanders: [they are] brass and iron; they [are] all corrupters.

The bellows are burned, the lead is consumed of the fire; the founder melteth in vain: for the wicked are not plucked away.

Reprobate silver shall [men] call them, because the LORD hath rejected them." (Jeremiah

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

6:27-30)

Make no mistake! 'Reprobate', *ma'ac*, is a severe term, meaning to reject, to despise, to refuse, to abhor, to be loathsome. As you will find in any good theological study, the word is most often used by God to mean His rejection of a person or nation, because that person or nation has rejected God. It also means to be condemned. The text is clear – God rejects those who are reprobate. On the other hand, God's people are a fortress, and they try the way of the reprobate.

"And even as they did not like to retain God in [their] knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient;

Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers,

Backbiters, haters of God, spiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents,

Without understanding, covenantbreakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful:

Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them." (Romans 1:28-32)

Notice this – such people are 'worthy of death'. There is no commuting of the sentence! The one who is reprobate has only one possible end: hell, unless he is elected to life and repents. Hardened homosexuals are all of the things mentioned in these verses, and are 'reprobate'.

In the Greek, *adokimos* has several meanings, and one of them coincides with the Old Testament use, which is a reference to bad or fake coins. Such people are 'not approved' by God because they cannot pass the test of authenticity. In other words, they are failed and will

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

pass on to hell. They are unfit, spurious and reprobate. They are 'castaway' and rejected, the same way that sterile soil is rejected for proper growth of plants and is left to itself.

Note also that 'reprobate' consists of two roots: the negative of 'Alpha', and *dokimos*. As the negative of Alpha, it means that the reprobate are contrary to Christ as the beginning and the end; it is a privative, which is negative. So, the opposite of *dokimos* also applies – unacceptable and unaccepted, not pleasing. This is taken from the idea of money-changers who cut down coins, defrauding others and gaining wealth for themselves at the expense of others. The root, *doke*

o

, confirms this negative sense.

"Now as Jannes and Jambres withstood Moses, so do these also resist the truth: men of corrupt minds, reprobate concerning the faith." (2 Timothy 3:8)

(The Greek is: ὃν τρόπον δὲ Ιάκωβος καὶ Ιαμβρῆς ἀντέστησαν Μωϋσεῖ οὕτως καὶ οὗτοι ἀνθίστανται τῇ ἀληθείᾳ ἄνθρωποι κατεφθαρμένοι τὸν νοῦν ἄδοκιμοὶ περὶ τὴν πίστιν. Note that ἄδοκιμοί, reprobate, is the same *adokimos*, 'concerning the faith'...the complete opposite of genuine faith, because they resist the truth; therefore no man can support or sustain help to the reprobate). Such people will "proceed no further", but their evils will be made clear before all men, not just by God but also by men of truth.

But they shall proceed no further: for their folly shall be manifest unto all [men], as theirs also was." (2 Timothy 3:8)

The reprobate deny God, because they are abominable (Titus 1:16). Abominable, *bdelyktos*, is to be detestable; that is,

bdelyss

o

, foul, abhorred, causing a terrible stench/to stink and so to defile, pollute; the stench causing God to turn away. Yes, God turns away from them.

So, when we claim to 'love our enemy' it should never be a love for what they do, nor any word or action that supports their cause – in this case human laws. One should examine the meaning

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

of agapao (the 'love' in 'love your enemies'), and not simply the ready-to-use limited meanings given in a popular lexicon. You would find that there must be a 'reasoning attachment' (or, detachment), because one meaning is to have an emotional attachment to someone, and the other is to acknowledge someone as a fellow, without emotional attachment.

“(Some), by the corrupt use of the world, become so steeped in sensual passion, (and) carried such an atmosphere of unholiness about them (see Origen, Prol. in Cant. Opp. tom iii. pp. 28–30), that the truth of God abstained from the defiling contact with them.” (Trench).

Take care what you support and lend credence to, when God hates what you support!

To act in an agapao way cannot ever mean to have an emotional liking for someone who God hates. It is just not possible. The confusion arises because men take scripture at face value, calling it a 'simple faith'. In reality it is not faith, but the opposite, a refusal to study God's word in depth and so accepting a superficial meaning for a rather deep word... because the superficial meaning happens to be in line with what they wish to think.

I see no problem in caring for someone (the better meaning of agapao), but object to providing that care when the enemy hates God – which homosexuals do – because God Himself says we may not help those who hate Him! This is a very straightforward fact! In the past I can honestly say I have helped every homosexual who has come my way, usually to my detriment. Thus, I have shown care, agapao. But, I can have no emotional 'warm' feeling for such people, because none is due, according to God. I can only show genuine warmth to those who are in real need.

I fear that many Christians take on this superficial 'loving' persona for homosexuals, but never bother to define what they mean by 'love'. And some 'go overboard' to prove just how 'loving' they are... which usually means helping them to continue in their sin, either directly, or indirectly by their silence. I would repeat – take very great care when you 'love your enemies', so that your supposed 'love' does not actually promote and continue their wickedness. The answer is to help those who seek it, so long as it does not involve you in their sin in any way, and what you say and do does not cause further hatred towards fellow believers.

© February 2011

A Christian Attitude to Homosexuality

Written by K B Napier

Tuesday, 21 January 2014 22:07

---oOo---

{loadposition btm_address}